

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,889	02/20/2002	Luca Zucchelli	5788-82-01	3819
22852 75	90 09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		1714		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/077,889	ZUCCHELLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Machine SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are provided by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sunication. It to period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
·1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>01 July 2005</u> .	·			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition to] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-18,23-28,34-37 and 4</u>	3 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 37 and 43	s/are withdrawn from consideration	l .			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-18,23-28 and 34-36</u> is	s/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any object					
•		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	Application No			
	of the priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage			
• •	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Paper No((s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/05</u> .	PTO/SB/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ——·			

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 1, 2005 in which claims 37 and 43 were amended. The rejection under 35 USC 103(a) of claims 37 and 43 is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 37 and 43 are directed to an invention that is
independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
The original claims were directed to a plant whereas the amended claims are now
directed to a system.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 37 and 43 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/077,889

Art Unit: 1714

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-6, 9-18 and 23-28 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,375,691. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the further component of the present invention may be either the elastomeric polymer material or the non-elastomeric polymer materials or a mixture of both as set forth in the claims of the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-15, 17-18, 23-28 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews (US 5,643,342) for the reasons of record.

Applicant argues that Andrew does not teach or suggest at least 90% by weight of the fuel composition fed into the burner is combusted in less than 10 seconds.

With respect to the fuel composition claims, this limitation is given no patentable weight. The claims are directed to a fuel composition comprising fossil fuel, non-fossil fuel and polymeric material. Andrew clearly meets this limitation. Since Andrew meets the material limitation, it would be reasonable to expect that Andrew would meet combustion rate limitation, absent evidence to the contrary. Accordingly, this logic would also apply to the claims that are directed to the combustion method. Andrews teaches that the fuel composition particles do not fuse together to form one cohesive

Application/Control Number: 10/077,889

Art Unit: 1714

and continuous piece of material. Upon burning, the pellets break into their original particulate components and each particle burns separately and in expansion (see col. 4, lines 28-36).

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10077889/090105